INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY SECOND MINIMUM SPANNING TREE FOR SOLVING TRANSPORTATION AND ASSIGNMENT PROBLEM WITH OPTIMAL SOLUTION

Prasanna A

Assistant Professor, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Jamal Mohamed College (Autonomous), (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Tiruchirappalli-620020, Tamilnadu, India, apj_jmc@yahoo.co.in

Sharmila Banus

Research Scholar and Assistant Professor, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Jamal Mohamed College (Autonomous),(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Tiruchirappalli-620020, Tamilnadu, India, sharmilasheik0408@gmail.com

Ismail Mohideen S

Principal, Head and Associate Professor, PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Jamal Mohamed College (Autonomous), (Affiliated to Bharathidasan University), Tiruchirappalli-620020, Tamilnadu, India, simohideen@yahoo.co.in

Article history: Received 02 October 2021 Revised 01 November 2021 Accepted 22 December 2021

Abstract

In this paper we introduce a intuitionistic fuzzy minimum spanning tree for solving transportation and assignment problem .We characterize the transportation problem as a bipartite graph and solved it iteratively. We solve some problem numerically and find its optimal solution . **Keywords:** Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set, Spanning tree, Intuitionistic Triangular Fuzzy Number, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Transportation Problem, Intuitionistic Fuzzy Assignment Problem

1. INTRODUCTION

In graph theory spanning tree problem is the most important and fundamental concept. Atanassov[1] introduced the concept of Intuitionistic fuzzy set. Deng Feng Li et al. [4] proposed a ranking method for triangular intuitionistic fuzzy number. Basic arithmetic operations of Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy numbers such as addition, subtraction and multiplication are defined by S.Mahapatra and T.K.Roy in [9], by considering the six tuple number itself. Anita Pal and ArindamDey[2], Prim's algorithm for solving minimum spanning tree problem in fuzzy environment. The determination of the optimal path tree was efficiently determined by Bellman[3], Ford[6] and Moore [8]. Hassan[7] Presents a new algorithm based sushisen[11] on the distance matrix to solve the least cost minimum spanning tree problem. T.A. De Almeida et.al.[10] an

evolutionary approach to solve minimum spanning tree problem with fuzzy parameters. Applications of minimum weight spanning tree were found in Foulds[5].

This article provides the best solution to the intuitionistic fuzzy minimum spanning tree transportation and assignment problem. Representation of equilibrium and unbalanced transportation assignment problems in a bipartite graph.

2.Preliminaries

2.1 Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set

An intuitionistic fuzzy set \tilde{A}^{I} in X is given by a set of ordered triples: $\tilde{A}^{I} = \{ \langle x, \mu_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(x), v_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(x) \rangle / x \in X \}$, where $\mu_{\tilde{A}^{I}}, v_{\tilde{A}^{I}} : X \rightarrow [0,1]$ are the function such that

 $0 \le \mu_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(x) + v_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(x) \le 1$ for all $x \in X$. For each x the numbers $\mu_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(x)$ and $v_{\tilde{A}^{I}}(x)$ represent the degree of membership and degree of non membership of the element $x \in X$ to $A \subset X$ respectively.

2.2 Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number (TIFN)

Let $\mu_{\check{A}}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set in R with the following membership function $\mu_{\check{A}}(x)$ and non membership function $v_{\check{A}}(x)$:

$$\mu_{\tilde{A}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{x-a_1}{a_2-a_1} & \text{for } a_1 \le \mathbf{x} \le a_2 \\ \frac{a_3-x}{a_3-a_2} & \text{for } a_2 \le \mathbf{x} \le a_3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
$$v_{\tilde{A}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{a_2-x}{a_2-a_1'} & \text{for } a_2 \le \mathbf{x} \le a_3 \\ \frac{x-a_2}{a_3'-a_2} & \text{for } a_2 \le \mathbf{x} \le a_3' \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Where $a'_1 \le a_1 \le a_2 \le a_3 \le a'_3$ for all $x \in X$. Triangular intuitionistic fuzzy number \check{A} is denoted by $(a_1, a_2, a_3; a'_1, a_2, a'_3)$



2.3 Defuzzification of Accuracy Function

If $\check{A} = (a_1, a_2, a_3; a'_1, a_2, a'_3)$ is an Triangular Intuitionistic fuzzy number, then the defuzified value or the ordinary (crisp) number of \check{A} ,

$\check{A} = \frac{(a_1 + 2 a_2 + a_3) + (a_1' + 2 a_2 + a_3')}{8}$

3.Fuzzy Second Minimum Spanning Tree (FSMST)

A Second Small value of Spanning Tree T* is a spanning tree , that has the second small value of all the edges, from all the possible spanning tree of the graph.





FMST

FSMST

3.1 Algorithm for Intuitionistic Fuzzy Second Minimum Spanning Tree Transportation(Balanced /Unbalanced) Problem

Step 1 : Find the crisp value of the given intuitionistic fuzzy transportation problem using ranking technique.

Step 2 : Examine whether total supply equals total demand . If not, introduce a dummy row or dummy column having all its cost elements as zero.

Step 3 : Convert the transportation table into bipartite graph.

Step 4 : Select the every edge with the second small value .

Step 5 : Select the edge from the bipartite graph which has maximum value of second minimum value select the spanning tree which has minimum cost coefficient.

Step 6 : Delete the source or demand vertex depending on which one is satisfied first.

Step 7 : Repeat from step 4 until there is no edge left

	D1	D2	D3	D4	SUPPLY
S1	(5,6,8);	(2,3,4);	(4,5,6);	(6,2,3);	(8,6,5)
	(2,6,9)	(0,3,6)	(2,5,8)	(0,2,7)	(2,6,9)
S2	(1,6,7);	(7,8,9);	(2,4,6);	(6,10,10);	(9,12,13);
	(0,6,8)	(4,8,12)	(0,4,7)	(4,10,20)	(4,12,14)
S3	(10,11,12);	(11,15,16);	(10,12,15);	(10,13,14);	(9,10,12);
	1,11,21)	(10,15,23)	(7,12,16)	(8,13,20)	(6,10,13)
DEMAND	(3,5,5);	(4,5,6);	(2,6,10);	(10,12,13);	
	(2,5,10)	(3,5,7)	(1,6,11)	(9,12,16)	

3.1 Example : 1

By defuzzifying with the quantities

We get $A_{11} = 6$; $A_{12} = 3$; $A_{13} = 5$ Similarly, $A_{34} = 13$

-					
	D1	D2	D3	D4	SUPPLY

S1	6	3	5	2	6
S2	6	8	4	10	12
S3	11	15	12	13	10
DEMAND	5	5	6	12	

Convert the transportation table into bipartite graph



Figure (iii)







Figure (vi)

 $Z = 2 \times 2 + 3 \times 4 + 13 \times 10 + 8 \times 1 + 6 \times 5 + 4 \times 6$ Z = 208

3.1 Example : 2

	D1	D2	D3	D4	SUPPLY
S1	(6,9,10);	(8,10,12);	(6,8,9);	(4,5,6);	(20,30,40);
	(5,6,15)	(6,10,14)	(5,8,12)	(2,5,8)	(10,30,50)
S2	(2,4,6);	(2,3,3);	(4,6,5);	(2,4,5);	(10,20, 25);
	(1,4,7)	(1,3,6)	(3,6,12)	(1,4,8)	(5,20,40)
S3	(7,9,10);	(3,5,6);	(6,8,9);	(1,2,3);	(5,10,12);
	(6,9,13)	(5,5,12)	(5,8,12)	(0,2,4)	(3,10,20
DEMAND	(5,10,12);	(20,30,30);	(30,40,50);	(5,10,15);	
	(3,10,20)	(20,30,50)	(20,40,60)	(3,10,17)	

By defuzzifying with the quantities

We get $A_{11} = 9$; $A_{12} = 10$; $A_{13} = 8$ Similarly, $A_{34} = 2$

		•			
	D1	D2	D3	D4	SUPPLY
S1	9	10	8	5	30
S2	4	3	6	4	20

		1	-		
S3	9	5	8	2	30
DEMAND	10	30	40	10	
Unbalanced transportation table					
	D1	D2	D3	D4	SUPPLY
S1	9	10	8	5	30
S2	4	3	6	4	20
S3	9	5	8	2	30
S4	0	0	0	0	10
DEMAND	10	30	40	10	

Convert the transportation table into bipartite graph





 $Z = 8 \times 10 + 8 \times 30 + 5 \times 10 + 2 \times 10 + 3 \times 20$ Z = 450

4. Algorithm for Intuitionistic Fuzzy Second Minimum Spanning Tree Assignment(Balanced /Unbalanced) Problem

Step 1 : Find the crisp value of the given intuitionistic fuzzy assignment problem using ranking technique.

Step 2: Examine whether the number of rows and columns in the cost matrix are equal . If not, introduce a dummy row(i) or dummy rolumn(j) having all its cost elements as zero to form a square matrix.

Step 3 : Reduce each row element by the smallest element of that row redo the each column..

Step 3 : Convert the Assignment table into bipartite graph.

Step 4 : Select the every edge with the second small value .

Step 5 : Select the edge from the bipartite graph which has maximum value of second minimum value select the spanning tree which has zero cost coefficient.

Step 6 : Delete the i,j vertex into bipartite graph.

Step 7 : Repeat from step 4 until there is no edge left.

	Trichy (A)	Dindigul (B)	Mathurai(C)	Theni(D)
Passenger (I)	(6,10,13);	(5,8,11);	(14,15,18);	(20,21,24);
	(4,10,17)	(3,8,13)	(7,15,20)	(10,21,30)
Passenger(J)	(4,6,9);	(12,14,16);	(2,3,4);	(10,12,13);
	(1,6,10)	(9,14,19)	(0,3,6)	(7,12,18)
Passenger(K)	(9,11,13);	(2,4,5);	(3,7,9);	(4,5,7);
	(5,11,17)	(1,4,8)	(2,7,14)	(6,5,11)
Passenger(L)	(15,16,17)	(6,9,12);	(10,13,14);	(1,4,6);
	(10,16,22)	(3,9,15)	(8,13,20)	(0,4,7)

By defuzzifying with the quantities

We get $\Lambda_{11} = 10$	$\Lambda_{12} = 8$	$\Lambda_{44} = \Lambda$
we get $A_{II} = 10$	$A_{12} = 0$	A44 – 4

	А	В	С	D
Ι	10	8	15	21
J	6	14	3	12
K	11	4	7	5
L	16	9	13	4

	А	В	С	D
Ι	0	0	7	13
J	1	11	0	9

K	5	0	3	1
L	10	5	9	0

Convert the transportation table into bipartite graph





 $I \rightarrow A$, $L \rightarrow D$, $J \rightarrow C$, $K \rightarrow B$

The Optimal solution is 21.

4.CONCLUSION

In this paper we have used a second minimum spanning tree graph theoretic approach to solve balanced and unbalanced intuitionistic fuzzy transportation and assignment problem. This method is based on the allocation of demand and supply items in the transportation matrix and find an optimal solution and ranking assignment.

References

- 1. Atanassov, K.T., Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and system, Vol.20, no.1, 1986, 87-96.
- 2. Anita Pal and ArindamDey, Prim's algorithm for solving minimum spanning tree problem in fuzzy environment pp419-430 2093-9310.
- 3. Bellman, R.E., On a routing problem, Quarterly journal of Applied Mathematics, Vol.16, 1958, 87-90.
- 4. Deng Feng Li, A note on "Using intuitionistic fuzzy sets for fault tree analysis on Printed circuit board assembly", Micro Electronics Reliability,2008,48,1741.
- 5. Foulds 1992, "Graph Theory Application", Springer-New York , Inc, 234-236.
- 6. Ford, L.R., Network flow theory, The Rand corporation report, Santa monica, California,1956,p-923.
- Hassan, M.R., An efficient method to solve least cost minimum spanning tree problem, journal of King Saud University-Computer and information Sciences, Vol.24,Issue 2, july 2012,101-105.
- 8. Moore. Z.E., The shortest path through a maze, Proceeding of international symposium on theory of switching, Part II, 1957, 258-292.
- 9. Mahapatra.G.S., and Roy.T.K., Reliability Evaluation using Triangular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers Arithmetic operation, World Academy of science, Engineering and Technology, 2009,50,574-581.

- 10. T.A. De Almeida, A.Yamakami and M.T.Takahashi, An evolutionary approach to solve minimum spanning tree problem with fuzzy parameters, in null . IEEE(2005)203(208).
- Senthil, P., Suganya, M., Baidari, I. et al. Enhancement Sushisen algorithms in Images analysis Technologies to increase computerized tomography images. Int. j. inf. tecnol. (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s41870-020-00429-5.
- 12. L.A.Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and computation, 8(1965) 338-353.